

### **REMARKS**

Applicants have now had an opportunity to carefully consider the Examiner's comments set forth in the Office Action of April 30, 2007, and Advisory Action dated June 25, 2007.

Reconsideration of the Application is requested.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 10-17, 19-24, and 28-29 are pending.

Claims 1, 10, 12, 16, and 22 are amended.

Claims 5, 7, 9, 18, 25-27 and 30 are cancelled.

#### **The Office Action of April 30, 2007**

Claims 16, 17, 19-24, and 30 were objected to for use of the term "capable of."

Claims 1-3, 16-17, 18-21, 23, 25-26, and 30 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,567,534 to Barth, et al.

Claims 4, 6-7, 12, 15-17, 19-21, 23, and 29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Barth, et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,704,750 to Bartos, et al.

Claim 27 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Barth, et al. in view of Bartos, et al. and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,580,689 to Slater.

Claim 29 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Barth, et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,419,665 to Adams.

Claims 8, 11, 13, and 28 were allowed.

Claims 9-10, 12, and 22 were objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but were considered to be allowable if rewritten in dependent form.

#### **The Advisory Action of June 25, 2007**

In the Advisory Action, Amendment D, filed May 22, 2007, was not entered.

The Examiner stated that "Applicant's arguments are not persuasive because the cited references still disclose the claimed invention of claims 1, 7, 25, and 30."

For the reasons outlined below, it is submitted that the claims are in condition for allowance.

Applicants have amended claim 1 to incorporate subject matter of allowable claim 9, which has now been cancelled. Accordingly, it is submitted that claims 1 and claims 2-4, 6, 10, 14, 15, and 29 dependent therefrom are now in condition for allowance.

Claim 12, which was considered to contain allowable subject matter, has been placed in independent form. Accordingly, it is submitted that claim 12 is now in condition for allowance.

Claim 16 has been amended to attend to the objection and to incorporate subject matter of dependent claim 22, which was considered to be allowable over the art. Accordingly, it is submitted that claim 16, and claims 17, 19-21, and 23-24 dependent therefrom, are now in condition for allowance.

**CONCLUSION**


For the reasons detailed above, it is submitted all claims remaining in the application (Claims 1-4, 6, 8, 10-17, 19-24, and 28-29) are now in condition for allowance. The foregoing comments do not require unnecessary additional search or examination.


In the event the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, he/she is hereby authorized to call the undersigned, at Telephone Number (216) 861-5582.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY SHARPE LLP

July 20, 2007  
Date

  
Ann M. Skerry, Reg. No. 45,655  
1100 Superior Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579  
(216) 861-5582

<b><u>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION</u></b>	
I hereby certify that this correspondence (and any item referred to herein as being attached or enclosed) is (are) being	
<input type="checkbox"/> deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> transmitted to the USPTO by electronic transmission via EFS-Web on the date indicated below.	
Express Mail Label No.:	Signature: 
Date: July 20, 2007	Name: Theresa L. Lucas

N:\XERZ\200671\US\AMS0000381V001.DOCX